BACKGROUND
Over the last 30 years, there has been a growing concern that the smoke plume formed during surgical procedures using electrocautery or laser destruction of tissue could be a health hazard for operators, assistants, and patients. There is recognition that the chemicals found in the plume are potentially damaging to health, and that the aerosolisation of viruses during procedures could permit disease transmission. These concerns have been highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic when the realisation of possible spread of a virus with a significant mortality has led to the general adoption of personal protective equipment (PPE) to help protect the surgical team.1

Human papillomavirus (HPV) and Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPV) are present in skin and mucosal lesions which are treated surgically by dermatologists. Surgery involves the use of cauterising devices which generate a surgical smoke plume consisting of vapourised and burnt tissue. HPV and MCPV are relatively resistant to heat due to their external capsid proteins2,3 and viable infectious viral particles have been detected in surgical plumes4 with the viral DNA being detectable in the plume,5 and on the face of surgeons after operations.6

HPV infection has been shown to be a necessary event in the development of all cervical cancers,7 a proportion of other genital cancers, and also head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCCs), especially oropharyngeal, tonsillar and laryngopharyngeal cancers.8 MCPV is a factor in the development of Merkel cell carcinoma, a relatively rare skin cancer which is increasing in incidence in Europe and Australia.9,10,11,12

The risk of developing cancer in the uterine cervix is strongly associated with the persistence of high-risk HPV infection and HPV16 viral copy number is associated with severity of disease.13,14 There are also data that higher HPV viral copy numbers may also be associated with HNSCC, especially tonsillar SCCs.15,16 This suggests that larger, infecting doses of high-risk HPV may increase risk of the persistence of HPV, and thus, risk of cancer at the site of infection. The time between exposure to the virus, and development of a pre-malignant or malignant condition at these sites, is often prolonged and may be years or decades. There are case reports of head and neck infection, including cancer in doctors exposed to high-risk surgical plumes,17,18,19,20 for example in ENT surgery, gynaecology and more recently in dermatologists specialising in genital disease.

The recent data regarding risks to surgeons from infectious agents and toxic and carcinogenic chemicals in the plume has been reviewed,21,22,23,24,25 and also assessed by CDC26 and HSE.27 The numbers of healthcare professionals recorded as having developed HPV-associated disease in the respiratory tract are relatively small.28 However, this may be an under-reporting, and it should be remembered that in naturally-acquired genital HPV disease, the majority of individuals clear the infection naturally, a small proportion develop persistent infection, and only a minority progress to malignant disease.
Although the use of PPE for dermatologic surgeons has increased and improved over the years, the amount of surgery done in dermatology has increased, with some dermatologists now spending a large proportion of the week in the operating room.

Risk of surgical plume to patients, assistants and surgeons can be reduced if the plume is removed by means of a smoke extractor used during surgery. These are relatively low-cost portable devices that can be used when surgeons consider that there may be risk.

THE BAD CALLS FOR:

1. Smoke extractors to be available in all settings where dermatology surgery takes place so that surgeons can use these devices when they consider it appropriate.
2. Further occupational health research into the risks of virus in surgical plume.

There should be education for doctors and nurses undertaking surgical procedures so that they are aware of the types of lesions (e.g. genital and oral lesions, warts in transplant patients, Merkel cell carcinoma) and procedures (e.g. bipolar cautery rather than unipolar; lower power setting) most likely to generate surgical plumes containing potentially harmful virus particles.29

These measures should be in addition to the use of PPE of a level to prevent virus inhalation, as outlined in a previous BAD policy statement.30,31
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